

Comparison between field performance of cuttings and seedlings of *Eucalyptus globulus*

Maria João GASPAR^{a*}, Nuno BORRALHO^b, António LOPES GOMES^a

^a Centro de Gestão de Ecossistemas/UTAD, Univ. Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Dep. Florestal, 5000-911 Vila Real, Portugal

^b RAIZ, Instituto de Investigação da Floresta e Papel, Apartado 15, 3801-501 Eixo, Portugal

(Received 17 November 2003; accepted 28 June 2005)

Abstract – The use of vegetative propagules of *Eucalyptus globulus* has been an important tool for the large scale deployment of improved plants. However, given the reported morphological differences in root systems between cuttings and seedlings, the question of whether such differences affect growth and wood quality needs to be addressed. The present study compares growth (diameter and height) and wood density (pilodyn penetration) of vegetatively propagated cuttings and seedlings from the same or related pedigrees. The relevance of age, site and the interaction between propagation method and genetic improvement were also investigated. Trials included full-sib families, in which each family was tested as cuttings and seedlings, and progeny trials where parents were cloned and offspring derived from open pollinated crosses. The results show that there were no significant differences between the two types of plant material (cuttings versus seedlings) for the traits examined in the study.

seedling / cutting / growth / wood density / *Eucalyptus globulus*

Résumé – Comparaison des performances en forêt de plants issus de semis et de bouturage d'*Eucalyptus globulus*. Le recours à la multiplication végétative est un outil de première importance pour le déploiement des variétés améliorées d'*Eucalyptus globulus*. Cependant, l'existence de caractéristiques morphologiques distinctes entre plants issus de semis et plants issus de boutures laisse planer des doutes sur leurs effets sur la croissance et la qualité du bois. Dans cette étude, nous avons comparé la croissance et la densité du bois (via le pilodyn) d'arbres de même pedigree ou apparentés, produits par bouturage et par semis. Nous avons également considéré l'effet de l'âge des plants, du milieu d'expérimentation et les interactions entre méthodes de multiplication et génotypes. Les essais analysés comprennent des tests de descendance pleins-frères, multipliés par semis et par bouturage, et des tests de descendance comprenant les parents clonés et leurs descendance issues de pollinisation libre. Les résultats montrent l'absence de différence significative entre les deux types de matériel (semis et boutures) que ce soit pour la croissance ou pour la densité du bois.

bouture / semis / croissance / densité du bois / *Eucalyptus globulus*

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of any improvement programme is to deploy the best genetically improved plants, as effectively and extensively as possible, either by seed or through vegetative propagation. The early years of tree breeding provided few examples of clonal deployment [19], but many programs around the world today rely on vegetative propagation [21]. This is particularly the case with tropical eucalypts, where cloning constitutes a valuable tool in most improvement programs [21]. With temperate *Eucalyptus*, such as *E. globulus*, the use of cuttings has been hampered by propagation constraints such as low rooting ability [1, 2, 4, 15, 24, 34], although such problems have also been recently overcome. One issue concerning clone deployment is the negative impact of propagation effects. There are several studies comparing growth between cuttings and seed-

lings in forest trees [6, 8, 10, 14, 17, 18, 20, 23, 26, 28–33] but only a few deal with eucalypts [3, 16, 19, 21]. Such comparisons often use seedlings and cuttings of different genetic backgrounds [7, 9, 29], thus complicating the interpretation of the results. In general, differences in field performance have not been apparent. Furthermore, some studies revealed that such differences tend to decrease over time as the trees mature [10, 32, 33]. Therefore, caution should be exercised when the studies are conducted in young trees.

In the case of *Eucalyptus*, previous studies comparing root characteristics of seedlings and cuttings have yielded considerable evidence that differences in the root system exist between the two propagation types, with cuttings producing fewer primary roots, often with no tap root, and having a shallower root system [27]. Additional studies comparing the growth of cuttings and seedlings of *E. globulus* in the field [25,

* Corresponding author: mjgaspar@utad.pt

Table I. Details of age, climate and location of field trials used in this study.

Set of trials	Name	Establishment	Latitude (north)	Longitude (west)	Altitude (m)	Temperature (°C)	Mean annual rainfall (mm)	
FS Trials	Folgozo e Foz	FF	01/03/1995	40° 41'	8° 23'	100–200	12.5–15.0	1000–1200
	Quinta da Cerca	QC	01/12/1995	39° 6'	8° 49'	0–50	16.0–17.5	600–700
PO Trials	Alápega de cima		27/04/1989	38° 28'	8° 22'	100–200	15.0–16.0	600–700
	Tamel		14/03/1990	41° 35'	8° 39'	400–500	12.5–15.0	1600–2000
	Carriço da Serra		23/04/1991	37° 26'	8° 39'	200–300	15.0–16.0	800–1000
	Vale da Erva		27/02/1991	37° 31'	8° 32'	100–200	15.0–16.0	600–700
	Infesta 3		01/03/1991	41° 54'	8° 36'	300–400	10.0–12.5	2000–2400
	Labruja		21/02/1990	41° 49'	8° 36'	100–200	12.5–15.0	2000–2400
	Matos Negros		21/02/1990	40° 0'	7° 12'	300–400	15.0–16.0	700–800

26] have shown that the deformation observed in the root systems of cuttings may reduce their functional effectiveness and can affect the growth of cuttings.

Some authors [5, 22, 30] argue that such differences could explain the slower initial growth of cuttings compared with seedlings of similar genetic background. However, a common weakness in most of those studies lies in the differing genetic backgrounds of seedlings and cuttings. In addition, most of these studies have not been followed over time. The aim of the present research study was to compare field performance between seedlings and cuttings in terms of growth and wood density (pilotyn penetration) in *Eucalyptus globulus* field trials up to an age closer to full rotation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The genetic material included in this study comprises a group of plus-trees (parents), originally selected in 8–12 year-old commercial plantations in Portugal, on the basis of overall good growth and form. Cuttings and open pollinated seed were collected from them and subsequently used to establish field trials. From some of these plus trees, grafts and controlled crosses were made. These parents are commonly referred as belonging to the Portuguese land race, although its racial background (their original native races in Australia) is unknown.

2.1. Field trials

In the study two sets of trials were used. The first set (denoted here as the full sib trials), was established in two locations (Tab. I). Each trial included several, mostly unrelated, full-sib families derived from controlled crosses between plus trees, and whose progeny were tested as both seedlings and cuttings.

The second set of trials (denoted the Open Pollinated Trials), were established in seven locations (Tab. I), and included the parent (the original plus tree), propagated as cuttings and their open pollinated offspring deployed as seedlings.

2.1.1. Full sib trials

The plants used in these trials were obtained from controlled crosses, carried out amongst grafted plus trees in the seed orchards of RAIZ (Portuguese Institute of Forest and Paper Research). Crosses were mostly unrelated although some families may share a common parent. No reciprocals and selfs were included. The seeds obtained

Table II. Number of replicates of each family and number of families of full sib trials.

Trial		Replicates	Families
Folgozo e Foz (FF)	Cuttings	4	49
	Seedlings	12	76
Quinta da Cerca (QC)	Cuttings	5	65
	Seedlings	5	59

from these crosses were divided into two lots. The first lot was raised in containers and cloned according to standard macropagation procedures (see [1] for details). Cuttings (typically with one leaf pair and 10 cm long) were dipped into hormone powder, and set in a medium composed of 60% peat and 40% styrofoam and set to root in a glass-house. Rooting success varied amongst families and was generally low (around 20%), as expected from *E. globulus* material. The second seed lot was kept apart and only set to germinate at a later stage, as to ensure cuttings and seedlings were of similar size at planting.

These trials allowed a direct comparison between plants of the same genetic background (full sib family) but propagated by different means (cuttings versus seedlings).

The two full sib trials were established in reasonably fertile sites in Portugal, in the North West (FF trial) and West (QC trial) of the country (Tab. I). The trials were established in March and December 1995, respectively, at a spacing of approximately 4 × 2 m. Establishment included a ripping, cultivation, and around 350 kg/ha of NPK (10:6:10) fertilization applied at two occasions (at age 1 and age 3).

Both sites have an irregular experimental design. Cuttings and seedlings were established in two contiguous (homogeneous) areas and replicated within each area. The set of families nested within each area were allocated using a randomized complete block (RCB) design with single tree plots. Most families were represented as both seedlings and cuttings, although some families were only tested with one plant type due to problems related to seed availability, rooting success of cuttings and survival in the field (Tab. II).

2.1.2. Open pollinated trials

In these trials, the parents were propagated through cuttings and were established together with their open pollinated progeny, propagated as seedlings. The original cuttings were obtained directly from the sprouts emerging from the stump after the original tree was harvested,

Table III. Comparison of means (and associated *F*-test) between cuttings and seedlings and variances values for Family and Family × Method interactions (and associated LTR test) in the full sib trials at several ages and for diameter, height, and pilodyn penetration.

Variable	Trial	Age (years)	Mean of the trial	$[\bar{X}_s - \bar{X}_c]^*$	†	Variances			
						Families†	F × M†	Error	
Height (m)	FF	2.7	8.6	1.07	***	1.13 ***	0.00 ns	2.01	
		3.5	10.7	0.94	***	0.98 ns	0.00 ns	3.01	
Diameter (cm)	QC	2.8	8.9	0.026	ns	1.37 ns	0.05 ns	1.72	
		FF	2.7	7.4	0.48	ns	1.28 ns	0.00 ns	3.07
			3.5	8.6	0.13	ns	1.02 ns	0.00 ns	4.24
	4.4		10.1	-0.29	ns	1.05 ns	0.08 ns	5.52	
	QC	2.8	7.4	0.48	ns	1.18 ns	0.12 ns	2.48	
		3.5	9.1	0.15	ns	1.51 ns	0.07 ns	3.31	
Pilodyn (mm)	FF	4.4	21.7	-0.318	ns	0.94 ns	0.78 *	3.72	
		QC	3.7	20.4	0.63	ns	1.26 ns	0.00 ns	3.34

* \bar{X}_s : mean of seedlings; \bar{X}_c : mean of rooted cuttings.

† ns: not significant at $p = 0.05$, * significant at $p < 0.05$, ** significant at $p < 0.01$, *** significant at $p < 0.001$.

and followed the same propagation procedures described previously. This material is derived from epicormic shoots can therefore be considered juvenile. Unlike the full sib trials, the cloned parents were expected to share only half the genetic background of their offspring, the other half being determined by the pollen contribution of unknown value. The genetic merit of the mothers is expected to be better than the average of the fathers (pollen), but only slightly, given the low efficiency of mass selection. Since families were openly pollinated, the progeny's value is expected to be 1/2 the mother's value and assuming the average contribution of the parents is neutral (neither better nor worse than the average), then the parents are expected to be somewhat better (or at least the same) than their OP offspring. Otherwise it may suggest the presence of negative propagation effects.

In the open pollinated trials, the experimental design included a RCB design, with the cloned parents and their seedling progeny randomly allocated in five replicates. Plot sizes consisted of five trees in a row. In total there were 36 treatments including 10 parents-offspring pairs and 26 progeny. The trials were established between February and March 1991, at a spacing of approximately 4×2 m. Establishment included a ripping and cultivation, and were fertilized once with an NPK (10:6:10) fertilization between age 1 and 3.

2.2. Measurements and analysis

Trees were measured for height (h) in meters, diameter at 1.30 m (d) in cm and pilodyn penetration (P) in mm [12].

The statistical analysis for the full sib trials used the linear model:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + F_i + M_j + Z_k + FM_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijkl} \quad (1)$$

The statistical analysis of the open pollinated trials used the linear model:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + F_i + B_b + M_j + FM_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijkl} \quad (2)$$

where Y represents the value of each individual for the respective characteristic; μ represents the overall mean of the trial; F_i represents the effect of the *i*th family, considered random; M_j represents the effect of the *j*th method of the propagation, considered fixed; B_b represents the effect of the *b*th block, considered fixed; Z_k represents the effect

of the *k*th replicate, considered fixed; FM_{ij} represents the effect of the interaction between the *i*th family and the *j*th method, considered random; ε_{ijkl} represents the residual.

All analyses were carried out using the programme ASREML [11]. To estimate the significance of random effects, a LRT (likelihood ratio test) test was carried out (with a single degree of freedom). The test performed to evaluate the significance of fixed effects, namely between propagation methods, was based on Wald's *F* test.

3. RESULTS

In the two full sib trials, seedling material had significant greater height in one trial (trial FF), although differences were greater at age 2.7 years than at age 3.5 years. In the second trial (QC), at 2.8 years, there was no difference in height between seedlings and cuttings (Tab. III).

Diameter results were more comparable across the two sites. In trial FF, seedlings had initially greater diameter than cuttings at age 2.7 years, but by age 4.4 years this was reversed. In Trial QC, the seedling's diameter was also greater than cuttings at 2.8 years, but at 5.8 years the diameter of cuttings was greater than that of seedlings. In all cases, differences between the two plant types were not significant (Tab. III).

A similar conclusion could be drawn for pilodyn penetration. Measurements taken at 4 years in trials FF and QC have yielded no significant differences between treatments.

The results, therefore, suggest a slightly better initial development for seedlings (up to age 2) but otherwise a similar growth between cuttings and seedlings. At age 4 or 5 years, growth seems to be similar between cuttings and seedlings of similar genetic background.

In the open pollinated series of trials, the results provided further evidence of small propagation effects in growth (Tab. IV). In six out of the seven trials measured for diameter, the cloned parents grew faster than their seedling open pollinated progeny,

Table IV. Comparison of means (and associated *F*-test) between cuttings and seedlings and variances values for family and clones (and associated LTR test) in the open pollinated trials, for the variables diameter, height and pilodyn penetration.

Variable	Trial	Age (years)	Mean of the trial	$[\bar{X}_s - \bar{X}_c]^*$	†	Variance		
						Families/clones	†	Error
Height (m)	Vale da Erva	4.6	8.6	2.52	***	3.98	***	2.190
	Cariço da Serra	5.8	10.9	-2.86	***	2.52	***	3.089
	Matos Negros	8.2	12.7	-1.00	***	2.56	***	3.242
	Labruja	8.6	16.4	-0.11	ns	2.37	***	2.053
Diameter (cm)	Vale da Erva	4.6	8.6	-0.50	*	3.21	***	3.664
	Cariço da Serra	5.8	10.9	-1.58	**	1.31	ns	7.844
	Infesta 3	7.2	14.5	0.37	ns	3.31	***	11.352
	Tamel	7.6	13.7	-0.39	ns	1.88	*	3.861
	Matos Negros	8.2	12.7	-1.19	ns	2.19	*	6.380
	Labruja	8.6	16.4	-0.81	ns	2.40	***	4.175
	Alápaga	9.4	14.1	-1.56	**	1.96	*	7.664
	Labruja	8.6	21.1	0.30	ns	5.47	***	1.064

* \bar{X}_s : mean of seedlings; \bar{X}_c : mean of rooted cuttings.

† ns: not significant at $p = 0.05$, * significant at $p < 0.05$, ** significant at $p < 0.01$, *** significant at $p < 0.001$.

although only three were statistically significant. The ages ranged between 5 and 9 years old. The difference in pilodyn penetration, measured only in one site, was again not significant. The lack of significant difference is also an expected result since initial selection of the parents was based on growth and not wood density, and the two traits are mostly unrelated.

Although the study was not intended to measure the magnitude of genetic effects in this material, some conclusions can be drawn from the analysis of variance. In the full sib series of trials, family effects were only significant at later stages of development on one of the sites (QC), thus suggesting that genetic variation for growth is low. However, this lack of a statistically significant family effect may be exacerbated because some of the full sib families involved are related (some parents are used in more than one cross), hence the observed family differences are less than the expected half of the (additive) genetic variation in the population. In the open pollinated series of trials, family effects (expected to represent 1/3 to 1/4 of the additive genetic variance) were generally larger and significant (Tab. IV).

Interaction between family and propagation method (for height and diameter) was also not significant. At age 4.4 years in trial FF, interaction effects for pilodyn penetration was significant at a 5% probability level, but in this particular case, family effects were not significant (Tab. III).

4. DISCUSSION

The results observed here suggest that seedlings and cuttings of *Eucalyptus globulus* of similar genetic background had similar growth rates and wood density.

In the full sib series of trials, there was some evidence that initial growth was greater in seedlings up to age 2, but by age 4 or 5 these differences either disappeared or were significantly

reduced. These initial differences may be more the result of poor plant quality of the cuttings, than ontogenetic effects. The present study did not include any assessment of root characteristics, however, clear differences in the structure of root systems between cuttings and seedlings of *E. globulus* have been reported for this species by Sasse and Sands [25] and Sasse and Sands [27]. These authors reported more deformities and less radial symmetry in the root system of cuttings and concluded that such malformations are negatively correlated with initial height growth. Some authors have claimed that rooted cuttings may be at a different stage of maturation, hence leading to ontogenic differences in growth and morphology [6, 13, 29, 32]. Whereas this may be the case with conifers, it is less likely to apply to *E. globulus*, which regenerates from coppice derived from juvenile epicormic buds. No apparent morphological differences could be found between the various materials tested.

Similar results were obtained in the present study with the open pollinated series of trials. They found no evidence of significant growth differences between cloned parents and their open pollinated progeny seedlings. In fact clones were found to perform slightly better. While this is an expected result (since genetic merit of the progeny is expected to be only 1/2 of the merit of the selected female parent), it at least suggests that propagation effects were not likely to have affected the parent's performance significantly.

Results reported in the literature have been contradictory. Cotterill and Brindbergs [3] reported that unimproved *E. globulus* seedlings presented similar and in some cases, greater growth than first generation selected cuttings suggesting therefore a reduction in growth due to cloning effects. In a *E. grandis* trial similar to our open pollinated series, Kageyama and Kikuti [16] also reported poorer growth in the cloned parents in comparison with their open pollinated progeny seedlings. On the other hand, Lambeth et al. [19], in *E. grandis* and Menck and

Kageyama [21] in *E. saligna* found a clear superiority of improved cuttings over unimproved seedlings. Most of these studies are inadequate because materials are not comparable. Nevertheless the disparity of results between studies highlights the importance of plant quality of cuttings as a critical factor, at least during initial stages of development. In the *Eucalyptus globulus*, a species known as difficult to root, plant quality issues must play an important role. For the plant material tested here, both series of trials support the idea that no unfavourable propagation effects were associated with cuttings, and there were no reduction in performance between seedlings and cuttings of similar genetic background.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank RAIZ (Institute of Forest and Paper Research) and in particular José Alexandre Araújo for conducting this research project and specially for providing the data and all relevant information used in the present study.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barbour E.L., Butcher T., Field testing vegetative propagation techniques of *Eucalyptus globulus*, in: Potts B.M., Borralho N.M.G., Reid J.B., Cromer R.N., Tibbits W.N., Raymond C., Hobart A. (Eds.), *Eucalyptus Plantations: Improving Fibre Yield and Quality*, Proc. CRCTHF-IUFRO Conf., Hobart, Australia, 19–24 Feb., 1995, pp. 313–314.
- [2] Borralho N.M.G., Wilson J., Inheritance of initial survival and rooting ability in *Eucalyptus Globulus* Labill. stem cuttings, *Silvae Genet.* 43 (1994) 238–242.
- [3] Cotteril P.P., Brindbergs M.L., Growth of first- and second-generation *Eucalyptus globulus* clonal cuttings and seedlings, in: Embrapa, Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Florestas (Eds.), IUFRO Conference about Silviculture and Genetic Improvement of Eucalypts, Salvador, August, 1997, Embrapa, Bahia, Brasil, 1997, pp. 233–238.
- [4] Fett-Neto A.G., Fett J.P., Goulart L.W.V., Pasquali G., Regina R., Termignoni R.R., Ferreira A.G., Distinct effects of auxin and light on adventitious root development in *Eucalyptus saligna* and *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Tree Physiol.* 21 (2001) 457–464.
- [5] Foster G.S., Campbell R.K., Adams W.T., Clonal selection prospects in western hemlock combining rooting traits with juvenile height growth, *Can. J. For. Res.* 14 (1985) 628–638.
- [6] Foster G.S., Lambeth C.C., Greenwood M.S., Growth of loblolly pine rooted cuttings compared with seedlings, *Can. J. For. Res.* 17 (1987) 157–164.
- [7] Foster G.S., Growth and morphology of rooted cuttings and seedlings of loblolly pine and their genetic analysis, in: Worrall J., Loo-Dinkins J., Lester D.P. (Eds.), 10th North American Forest Biology Workshop, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 1988, pp. 67–78.
- [8] Frampton L.J. Jr., Foster G.S., Field Testing Vegetative Propagules, in: Ahuja M.R., Libby W.J. (Eds.), *Clonal forestry I: genetics and biotechnology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993, pp. 110–134.
- [9] Frampton J., Li B., Goldfarb B., Early field growth of loblolly pine rooted cuttings and seedlings, *South. J. Appl. For.* 24 (2000) 98–105.
- [10] Gemmel P., Orlander G., Hogberg K.A., Norway spruce cuttings perform better than seedlings of the same genetic origin, *Silvae Genet.* 40 (1991) 198–202.
- [11] Gilmour A.R., Gogel B.J., Cullis B.R., Welham S.J., Thompson R., *ASREML User Guide*, Release 1.0, 2002, VSN International.
- [12] Greaves B.L., Borralho N.M.G., Raymond C.A., Farrington A., Use of pyridin for indirect selection of basic density in *Eucalyptus nitens*, *Can. J. For. Res.* 26 (1996) 1643–1650.
- [13] Greenwood M.S., Phase change in loblolly pine: shoot development as function of age, *Physiol. Plant.* 61 (1984) 518–522.
- [14] Hannerz M., Wilhelmsson L., Field performance during 14 years growth of *Picea abies* cuttings and seedlings propagated in containers of varying size, *Forestry* 71 (1998) 373–380.
- [15] Hartney V.J., Vegetative propagation of eucalypts, *Aust. For. Res.* 10 (1980) 191–211.
- [16] Kageyama P.Y., Kikuti P., Comparison between clones and open pollinated progenies originating from a population of *Eucalyptus grandis* (Hill) Maiden in Brazil, in: Gibson G.I., Griffin A.R., Matheson A.C. (Eds.), IUFRO Conference about Breeding Tropical Trees: Population Structure and Genetic Improvement Strategies in Clonal and Seedling Forestry; Pattaya, Thailand, November 1988, Oxford Forestry Institute, Oxford and Winrock International, Arlington, Virginia, 1989.
- [17] Karlsson I., Russell J., Comparisons of yellow cypress trees of seedling and rooted cutting origins after 9 and 11 years in the field, *Can. J. For. Res.* 20 (1990) 37–42.
- [18] Klomp B.D., Hong S.O., Performance of *Pinus radiata* seedlings and cuttings to age 15 years, *N. Z. J. For. Sci.* 15 (1985) 281–366.
- [19] Lambeth C., Endo M., Wright J., Genetic analysis of 16 clonal trials of *Eucalyptus grandis* and comparisons with seedlings checks, *For. Sci.* 40 (1994) 397–411.
- [20] Land S.B., Performance and G-E interactions of sycamore established from cuttings and seedlings, in: Jones G.A., Earle P. (Eds.), Second Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference, November 4–5, 1982, Atlanta, USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-24, 1983, pp. 431–440.
- [21] Menk A.L.M., Kageyama P.Y., Teste clonal a partir de árvores selecionadas em testes de Progénie de *Eucalyptus saligna* (resultados preliminares), Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais, Piracicaba, 40 (1988) 27–33.
- [22] Paul A.D., Foster G.S., Lester D.T., Field performance, C effects, and their relationship to initial rooting ability for western hemlock clones, *Can. J. For. Res.* 5 (1993) 47–57.
- [23] Roulund H., Wellendorf H., Werner M., A clonal experiment in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) 15 year's results, *Forest Tree Improvement* 17 (1985) 1–33.
- [24] Ruad J.N., Lawrence N., Pepper S., Potts B.M., Borralho N.M.G., Genetic Variation of In Vitro Rooting Ability With Time in *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Silvae Genet.* 48 (1999) 4–7.
- [25] Sasse J., Sands R., Root System Development in Cuttings of *Eucalyptus globulus*, in: Potts B.M., Reid J.B., Cromer R.N., Tibbits W.N., Raymond C.A. (Eds.), IUFRO Conference about Eucalypt Plantations: Improving Fibre Yield and Quality, Hobart, 19–24 February 1995, Hobart, 1995, pp. 299–303.
- [26] Sasse J., Sands R., Comparative responses of cuttings and seedlings of *Eucalyptus globulus* to water stress, *Tree Physiol.* 16 (1996) 287–294.
- [27] Sasse J., Sands R., Configuration and development of root systems of cuttings and seedlings of *Eucalyptus globulus*, *New For.* 14 (1997) 85–105.
- [28] Shelbourne C.J.A., Thulin I.J., Early results from a clonal selection and testing programme with radiata pine, *N. Z. J. For. Sci.* 4 (1974) 387–398.
- [29] Stelzer H.E., Foster G.S., Shaw V., McRae J.B., Ten-year growth comparison between rooted cuttings and seedlings of loblolly pine, *Can. J. For. Res.* 28 (1998) 69–73.
- [30] Struve D.K., Talbert J.T., McKeand S.E., Growth of rooted cuttings and seedlings in a 40-year-old plantation of eastern white pine, *Can. J. For. Res.* 14 (1984) 462–464.
- [31] Struve D.K., Mackenand S.E., Growth and development of eastern white pine rooted cuttings compared with seedlings through 8 years of age, *Can. J. For. Res.* 20 (1990) 365–368.
- [32] Sweet G.B., The effect of maturation on the growth and form of vegetative propagules and seedlings of *Pinus radiata*, *N. Z. J. For. Sci.* 3 (1973) 191–210.
- [33] Sweet G.B., Wells L.G., Comparison of the growth of vegetative propagules and seedlings of *Pinus radiata*, *N. Z. J. For. Sci.* 4 (1974) 399–409.
- [34] Wilson P.J., The growth and form of potted mother plants of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. ssp. *globulus* in relation to the rooting ability of stem cuttings, *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 74 (1999) 645–650.