Hourly and daily variations of xylem sapflow in sweet chestnut coppices using a thermal measurement method
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Introduction

A new method for measuring xylem sapflow (Granier, 1985) has been tested to calculate forest transpiration. Two coppices of sweet chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.) in the south of Ile-de-France were studied. One of them was thinned to a density of 3500 stems/ha, the other (6000 stems/ha) was used as a control.

This paper presents preliminary results of hourly and daily variations of xylem sapflow in the thinned coppice.

Materials and Methods

A xylem sapflow measurement sensor comprises two cylindrical probes inserted into the conducting xylem of the trunk. One of the probes is heated at constant power, the other is unheated and used as a reference. The temperature difference between both probes is a measure of sap velocity around the heated probe. It is recorded using differential thermocouples connected to a data logger. This method allows investigations of the variability of the sap velocity within a stem or between stems. Sapflow is calculated with the following formula and may be averaged on hourly or daily time scales (Bobay and Granier, 1987): \[ F = 42.84 \times \text{SA} \times K^{1.231}; \]
where \( F \) = sapflow \((\text{cm}^3\text{h}^{-1})\); \( \text{SA} \) = sapwood area at heated probe level \((\text{cm}^2)\); \( K \) = flow index (without dimensions). \( K = \frac{\Delta T_M - \Delta T(u)}{\Delta T(u)} \);
where \( \Delta T_M \) = temperature difference without any sapflow \( (^\circ\text{C}) \); \( \Delta T(u) \) = temperature difference with sapflow \( u \) \( (^\circ\text{C}) \).

Psychrometer and net-radiometer were installed on a tower in the thinned coppice. Potential evapotranspiration \( E_p \) was calculated using Priestley–Taylor's equation (1972), with a hourly time step:
\[ E_p = 1.26 \times \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \right) \times \left( \frac{R_n}{\lambda} \right) \]
where \( R_n \) = net radiation \((\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2})\); \( \Delta \) = change of saturation vapor pressure per \(^\circ\text{C} \) \((\text{kPa} \cdot \text{C}^{-1})\); \( \gamma \) = psychrometer constant \((\text{kPa} \cdot \text{C}^{-1})\); \( \lambda \) = latent heat of vaporization of water \((\text{J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1})\).

Use of this equation is not usually recommended for forests but was found useful in chestnut coppices that have large leaves and a relatively large boundary layer resistance.

Results and Discussion

Hourly variations of xylem sapflow were recorded and compared during days with different irradiances.

On 5 July 1937, a sunny day, maximum \( T_{\text{max}} \) and minimum \( T_{\text{min}} \) temperatures
were respectively 28.4°C and 16.5°C, with a global radiation $R_g$ of 2637 J·cm$^{-2}$·d$^{-1}$ and a sapflow of 2.05 mm·d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 1). By contrast, on 31 July 1987, a cloudy day, $T_{\text{max}} = 20.6^\circ$C, $T_{\text{min}} = 12.2^\circ$C, $R_g = 744$ J·cm$^{-2}$·d$^{-1}$ and sapflow = 0.8 mm·d$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2). Both Figs. 1 and 2 show an influence of net radiation on sapflow variations. With a daily time step, transpiration $T$ is about equal to sapflow, as was shown by measurements of weight loss and water uptake by cut stems.

Using sensors in 7 stems, the sapflow of the whole coppice was computed and plotted against the vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (Fig. 3). The relationship is quite good and reveals an apparent limit to forest transpiration.

Daily variations of sapflow $F$ and $E_p$ are shown in Fig. 4. Because of a particularly wet season, no water stress was found during 1987. The maximum transpiration was 2.58 mm·d$^{-1}$. This is quite low in comparison with $E_p$ values that reach 6 mm·d$^{-1}$. This may be due to a low leaf area index (2.8) caused by thinning and also by poor weather.

**Conclusion**

The method used here enabled continuous measurements of forest transpiration throughout the entire growing season. These results will be compared to a soil–water balance approach using a neutron probe and rainfall measurements. The data will be further analyzed in order to derive a forest transpiration model.
Fig. 4. Daily variations of sapflow ($F$, mm·d$^{-1}$) and potential evapotranspiration ($E_p$, mm·d$^{-1}$) calculated with Priestley–Taylor's equation.
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